

PC 1026.5 EXTENSION OF COMMITMENT

Submitted by Lynda Pierini, Madera

August 5, 2010

The Jury Trial that just concluded the jury found the respondent does pose a substantial danger to others and will remain in the state institution.

My problem is I am not sure what to use for the Case Disposition on this jury trial. In JSI we currently use one of the following:

Case continued, Directed Verdict, Verdict for Defendant, Guilty-Misd, Guilty-Felony, Hung Jury, Mistrial, Not Guilty, Plea entered, Verdict for Plaintiff, Settlement Entered

What would other courts use in this case? Do I need to create a code that can be used for this PC 1026.5 Extension of Commitment?

1	Cathi Scamara	San Luis Obispo - Since we have a state institution here we have these trials a lot. I would have JSI add (or you can) the verdicts which state: Meets criteria Does not meet criteria
2	Sherry Spears	Fresno is the same as Shasta and would use "True" or "Not True" .
3	Amy MacDonald	Tulare is the same as Fresno and Shasta.
4	Diane Collins	Mendocino County uses the Mental Health jury trial verdicts, found competent or not competent.
5	Diana Gifford-Tuggle	Shasta - We use "PT" – Petition True – Commitment Extended For those cases where the results show they are no longer a danger, we use "PN" – Petition Found Not True
6	Nellie Jaime	In San Bernardino we use 'verdict'
7	Elizabeth Renteria	Contra Costa uses the same code as Mendocino